521451







PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	(1 C1 Atticle 30 and	Kule 70)					
Applicant's or agent's file reference P-14-424-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No.	International filing date (day/n	ational filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/mo					
PCT/IB2003/003767	14 August 2003 (14.0	8.2003)	19 August 2002 (19.08.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H04N 7/10, 7/16, 7/167							
Applicant NAGRAVISION SA							
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of4 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3. This report contains indications relati	ing to the following items:						
I Basis of the report							
II Priority	II Priority						
	of opinion with regard to novelt	y, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of inv							
V Reasoned statement citations and explan	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
VI Certain documents of	cited		•				
VII Certain defects in th	VII Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand	Date of o	completion of	this report				
13 March 2004 (13.03.2004)		Date of completion of this report 12 August 2004 (12.08.2004)					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399-0		ed officer	_				
Facsimile No. +49 89 2399-4465		ne No. +49 89	Fassnacht, C 2399-6019				



I. Basis of the report							
1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):							
\boxtimes	the international	application as originally filed.					
\boxtimes	the description,	pages 1-12	_, as originally filed,				
	_	pages	_, filed with the demand,				
		pages	, filed with the letter of,				
		pages					
	the claims,	Nos. 1-16	, as originally filed,				
		Nos.	_ , as amended under Article 19,				
		Nos	_, filed with the demand,				
		Nos.	_ , filed with the letter of,				
		Nos.	, filed with the letter of				
\boxtimes	the drawings,	sheets/fig1/2-2/2	_ , as originally filed,				
		sheets/fig	_, filed with the demand,				
		sheets/fig	_ , filed with the letter of ,				
		sheets/fig	, filed with the letter of				
2. The amer	ndments have resulte	ed in the cancellation of:	·				
	the description,	pages					
	the claims,	Nos					
	the drawings,	sheets/fig					
		<u> </u>					
3. Th	is report has been es	stablished as if (some of) the ar	nendments had not been made, since they have been considered e Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
	go coyona and anoun	out out mod, as marcated in th	e Supplemental Box (Kule 70.2(c)).				
4. Addition:	al observations, if ne	ecessary:					

YES

NO

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Statement						
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-16	YES			
		Claims		NO			
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-16	YES			
		Claims		NO			
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-16	MES			

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following document:

Claims

D1: WO 00/56068 A (THOMSON LICENSING SA; DEISS MICHAEL SCOTT (US); ESKICIOGLU AHMET M) 21 September 2000 (2000-09-21)

D1, which is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1, describes (the references between parentheses apply to said document): a method implemented in a global protection system for home networks with local encryption and decryption of control messages (ECM) using a local key ("rebundled descrambling key", "LECM"). The subject matter of claim 1 differs from D1 in that it relates to:

- a method for verifying the validity of a network key, wherein:
 - a test key is transmitted from the broadcasting device to the processing device, where a crytogram is calculated using said key, and
 - the cryptogram is sent to the broadcasting device, where said cryptogram is compared to a list of cryptograms.

Such a method, which is useful for keeping the content

encrypted until it is read, is not known from D1. The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can be considered to be that of verifying the validity of a network key so as to keep the content encrypted until it is read. It is not obvious for a person skilled in the art to verify the validity of a network key by means of a method wherein:

- a test key is transmitted from the broadcasting device to the processing device, where a crytogram is calculated using said key, and
- the cryptogram is sent to the broadcasting device, where said cryptogram is compared to a list of cryptograms.

This solution, as proposed in claim 1 of the present application, is therefore considered to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

Claims 2 to 16 are dependent on claim 1 and thus also comply, as such, with the PCT requirements of novelty and inventive step.

The method is industrially applicable.